Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/517,692	EROMA ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SCARLETT GOON	1623
All Participants: Status of Application: pending		
(1) SCARLETT GOON.	(3)	
(2) Mr. Mark J. Cohen.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 December 2009	Time: 2:30 pm	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant	nt's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 58-73 and 75		
Prior art documents discussed: U.S. Patent No. 6,764,706 B1 to Heikkikla et al.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Mr. Cohen, Applicants' representative, was contacted as a countesy to let Applicants know that while they made a 103(c) statement to overcome the rejection of record in the Office Action dated 31 July 2009, a new ground of rejection is still available using the WIPO publication of the applied U.S. Patent.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
SCARLETT GOON/		
Examiner, Art Unit 1623	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-4138 (04-03)